

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

M. DIANE KOKEN,
Insurance Commissioner of the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,

Plaintiff,

v.

RELIANCE INSURANCE CO.,

Defendant.

No. 269 M.D. 2001

2006 JUN 30 P 1:05

RECEIVED AND FILED
COMMONWEALTH COURT
OF PENNSYLVANIA

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Pursuant to the Court's Order of June 28, 2006, the undersigned counsel for M. Diane Koken, Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, in her official capacity as Liquidator of Reliance Insurance Company, hereby deposes and says that service of the Court's June 28, 2006, Order which approved the Settlement of POC Numbers 002082637, 002082766, 002062782, and 002079283, was made on June 29, 2006, via facsimile, electronic mail and/or by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, on or before June 29, 2006.

Dated:

June 30, 2006



Ann Blair Laupheimer
BLANK ROME LLP
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel. 215/569-5500
Fax. 215/832-5758

In The Commonwealth Court Of Pennsylvania

M. DIANE KOKEN, INSURANCE :
COMMISSIONER OF THE :
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :
 :
V. : No. 269 MD 2001
 :
RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY :
 :
IN RE: :
PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 002082637 :
JOHN GRANGER :

REFEREE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This matter arises out of a claim by John Granger ("Granger") against the Canadian Reliance Insurance Company. On May 29, 2001, the Commonwealth Court placed Reliance into Rehabilitation, and into Liquidation on October 3, 2001. Granger timely filed a Proof of Claim. On August 30, 2004, the Liquidator filed a Notice of Determination denying Granger's claim.

Granger filed an Objection to the Notice of Determination on October 20, 2004 and the undersigned was selected as Referee. The underlying litigation against Granger, which was the basis of the Proof of Claim has been dismissed, and the parties hereto have entered into a settlement agreement, in which Granger has released the Liquidator from all claims. Granger is desirous of withdrawing his objection to the Notices of Determination issued by the Liquidator.

EXHIBIT "A"

DISCUSSION

Granger filed a proof of claim based upon litigation, in which Granger was a defendant. That underlying suit has been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. As a result of the dismissal of the underlying suit, Grainger and the Liquidator have entered into a settlement agreement whereby Grainger is releasing the Liquidator from all claims relating to the litigation. Accordingly, Grainger wishes to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination issued by the Liquidator.


The proposed withdrawal of objection, together with the settlement agreement between the parties would finally resolve all issues arising out of the proof of claim, and it is the recommendation of the undersigned that Grainger be permitted to withdraw its objection.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that Grainger be permitted to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination by the Liquidator, assigning class (e) priority to the proof of claim under 40 Pa.C.S. §221.44(e).

Notice is hereby given that any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Commonwealth Court within thirty days of the date of this Report.

Respectfully submitted,



J. Scott O'Keefe, Referee

Date: November 8, 2005

In The Commonwealth Court Of Pennsylvania

M. DIANE KOKEN, INSURANCE :
COMMISSIONER OF THE :
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :
 :
V. : No. 269 MD 2001
 :
RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY :
 :
IN RE: :
PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 002082766 :
JOHN GRANGER :

REFEREE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This matter arises out of a claim by John Granger ("Granger") against the Canadian Reliance Insurance Company. On May 29, 2001, the Commonwealth Court placed Reliance into Rehabilitation, and into Liquidation on October 3, 2001. Granger timely filed a Proof of Claim. On August 30, 2004, the Liquidator filed a Notice of Determination denying Granger's claim.

Granger filed an Objection to the Notice of Determination on October 20, 2004 and the undersigned was selected as Referee. The underlying litigation against Granger, which was the basis of the Proof of Claim has been dismissed, and the parties hereto have entered into a settlement agreement, in which Granger has released the Liquidator from all claims. Granger is desirous of withdrawing his objection to the Notices of Determination issued by the Liquidator.

DISCUSSION

Granger filed a proof of claim based upon litigation, in which Granger was a defendant. That underlying suit has been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. As a result of the dismissal of the underlying suit, Grainger and the Liquidator have entered into a settlement agreement whereby Grainger is releasing the Liquidator from all claims relating to the litigation. Accordingly, Grainger wishes to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination issued by the Liquidator.


The proposed withdrawal of objection, together with the settlement agreement between the parties would finally resolve all issues arising out of the proof of claim, and it is the recommendation of the undersigned that Grainger be permitted to withdraw its objection.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that Grainger be permitted to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination by the Liquidator, assigning class (e) priority to the proof of claim under 40 Pa.C.S. §221.44(e).

Notice is hereby given that any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Commonwealth Court within thirty days of the date of this Report.

Respectfully submitted,


J. Scott O'Keefe, Referee

Date: November 8, 2005

DISCUSSION

Granger filed a proof of claim based upon litigation, in which Granger was a defendant. That underlying suit has been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. As a result of the dismissal of the underlying suit, Grainger and the Liquidator have entered into a settlement agreement whereby Grainger is releasing the Liquidator from all claims relating to the litigation. Accordingly, Grainger wishes to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination issued by the Liquidator.

The proposed withdrawal of objection, together with the settlement agreement between the parties would finally resolve all issues arising out of the proof of claim, and it is the recommendation of the undersigned that Grainger be permitted to withdraw its objection.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that Grainger be permitted to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination by the Liquidator, assigning class (e) priority to the proof of claim under 40 Pa.C.S. §221.44(e).

Notice is hereby given that any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Commonwealth Court within thirty days of the date of this Report.

Respectfully submitted,


J. Scott O'Keefe/Referee

Date: November 8, 2005

In The Commonwealth Court Of Pennsylvania

M. DIANE KOKEN, INSURANCE :
COMMISSIONER OF THE :
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :
 :
V. : No. 269 MD 2001
 :
RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY :
 :
IN RE: :
PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 002079283 :
JOHN GRANGER :

REFEREE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This matter arises out of a claim by John Granger ("Granger") against the Canadian Reliance Insurance Company. On May 29, 2001, the Commonwealth Court placed Reliance into Rehabilitation, and into Liquidation on October 3, 2001. Granger timely filed a Proof of Claim. On August 30, 2004, the Liquidator filed a Notice of Determination denying Granger's claim.

Granger filed an Objection to the Notice of Determination on October 20, 2004 and the undersigned was selected as Referee. The underlying litigation against Granger, which was the basis of the Proof of Claim has been dismissed, and the parties hereto have entered into a settlement agreement, in which Granger has released the Liquidator from all claims. Granger is desirous of withdrawing his objection to the Notices of Determination issued by the Liquidator.

DISCUSSION

Granger filed a proof of claim based upon litigation, in which Granger was a defendant. That underlying suit has been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. As a result of the dismissal of the underlying suit, Grainger and the Liquidator have entered into a settlement agreement whereby Grainger is releasing the Liquidator from all claims relating to the litigation. Accordingly, Grainger wishes to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination issued by the Liquidator.


The proposed withdrawal of objection, together with the settlement agreement between the parties would finally resolve all issues arising out of the proof of claim, and it is the recommendation of the undersigned that Grainger be permitted to withdraw its objection.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that Grainger be permitted to withdraw his objection to the Notice of Determination by the Liquidator, assigning class (e) priority to the proof of claim under 40 Pa.C.S. §221.44(e).

Notice is hereby given that any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Commonwealth Court within thirty days of the date of this Report.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott O'Keefe, Referee

Date: November 8, 2005